

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO. Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,191	12/05/2003	Gary L. Swoboda	TI-34672	2408	
23494 TEXAS INSTI	7590 11/07/200 RUMENTS INCORPO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			KHANNA, MADHU		
DALLAS, TX	75265	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2451		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/07/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

uspto@ti.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/729,191	SWOBODA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MADHU KHANNA	2451		

	MADHU KHANNA	2451	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date to have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a studied in the control of th	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, and 6-10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/Salad Abdullahi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant alleges Maes is relied upon for teaching "a field in the at least one header packet indicates a number of packet subgroups provided in the packet group". However, the final rejection recites that Maes-Jensen do not explicitly disclose a field in the header packet indicating a number of packet subgroups provided in the packet group, and that that portion of the claimed limitation is taught by Kapoor (column 3 lines 15-17). Further, regarding Applicant's argument that Maes does not teach "a remainder of said further packets flowing the first packet in said at leave no packet subgroup are a continuation of content contained in the first packet," the IntraFrame and InterFrames together make a single Block, or audio frame, and therefore the InterFrames are a continuation of the IntraFrame.